

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,441	06/01/2001	Dennis G. Olson	SUREB-56121	2095
7:	590 07/08/2003			
ELLSWORTH R. ROSTON, ESQ. FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLO HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 600 CENTER DRIVE TENTH ELOOP			EXAMINER	
			GURZO, PAUL M	
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2881	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>Y</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/872,441	OLSON, DENNIS G.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Gurzo	2881
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	pears on the cover she twith the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>		
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		the Francisco
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2001</u> is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrinor.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H S C & 119/s	a) <sub>r</sub> (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 55 c.c.c. § 115(6	2)-(u) or (i).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		



Application/Control Number: 09/872,441

Art Unit: 2881

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: G. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "12" and "16" have both been used to designate the article. In addition, reference characters "20", "22", and "28" have been used to designate the fixture. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2,3,5, and 10-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. As the claimed invention is best understood in light of the specification, the fixture acts to provide a substantial uniformity in the radiation dose at the different positions in the article within the particular limits (page 12, lines 6-9). However, the description and accompanying figures do not depict how this is possible. Notably, the fixture is merely an aluminum, steel or plastic material, but there is no teaching of how these materials will, in any way, affect the uniformity. Namely, there is no magnetic or electric field that will



Application/Control Number: 09/872,441

Art Unit: 2881

change the beam dosage to provide uniformity, nor is there rotation of either the article or the electron beam that will achieve the desired uniformity. The fixture merely acts to block the radiation at different areas, but the fixture, as depicted in Fig. 3-5, does not in any way block the beam. On the contrary, there is no distortion of the beam of any kind because the beam has a clear line of sight path to irradiate the article. Therefore, the fixture will not achieve any type of adequate uniform absorption.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2,3,5 and 10-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As stated above, the specification does not adequately enable the claimed invention because the fixture will not provide any type of increased absorption uniformity. There is no application of a magnetic or electric field that will affect the irradiation of the beam, and there is no rotation of the article or the beam source that could lead to desired uniformity. Because of this, it is not clear how the fixture will lead to any type of uniform irradiation distribution.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.





Art Unit: 2881

Claims 2,3,5 and 10-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The specification states that the fixture acts to provide a substantial uniformity in the radiation dose at the different positions in the article within the particular limits (page 12, lines 6-9). However, the claims only claim absorbing radiation depending upon the irregularities in the characteristics of the article at the different positions. Therefore, as the invention is claimed, it is only concerned with absorption due to irregularities, which is not consistent with the specification that is concerned with uniformity.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4, and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Welt et al. (5,400,382).

Regarding claims 1,4, and 6-9, 382 teaches a method of irradiating an article from a radiation source (27) including the steps of providing the radiation from the source (27) in a particular direction, absorbing the radiation energy in accordance with the irregularities in the article to maintain desired radiation dosage, and moving the article past the radiation from the source in a direction transverse to the particular direction (col. 3, lines 10-15, col. 4, lines 1-17, col. 5, lines 48-52, and Fig. 1). This will achieve the desired uniformity of the radiation dosage

Art Unit: 2881

(col. 7, lines 60-65). Further, 382 teaches controlling the speed (col. 3, lines 49-54), and it is inherent that substantially constant speed can be achieved.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Perrins et al. (6,215,847)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (703) 306-0532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on (703) 308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

**PMG** 

June 23, 2003